arXiv:math/0009142v2 [math.CA] 27 Sep 2000

L^p version of a result by Rankin[4]

Mathieu Dutour [*]

Jul 2000

Abstract

We extend a classical result by Rankin[4]. We consider the following question: given n vectors v_i in the ball of radius R of an infinite dimensional Banach space $\mathcal{B}$ with $d(v_i, v_j) \geq 1$, can we bound the number n?

1 Packing Problem

Here is a classical result by Rankin, cited in [1] p. 85

Theorem 1.1 *Let* $R < \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ *and let* N_R *denote the maximum number* N *of points* $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N$ *that can be placed in a closed (euclidean) ball of radius* R *in such a way that* $||x_i - x_j|| \geq 1$ *for all* $i \neq j = 1, \ldots, N$ *then* $N_R = \lfloor \frac{1}{1-2R^2} \rfloor$.

Our goal is to extend this result to infinite dimensional Banach space $\mathcal{B}$. We will solve the problem in l_p.
We denote by B_R the ball of center 0 and radius R for the $||.||$ norm of $\mathcal{B}$.
We define

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B},n,R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{c} (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) \in B_R, \\ ||v_i - v_j|| \geq 1 \text{ if } i \neq j \end{array} \right\} \tag{1}$$

If $R \geq \frac{1}{2}$ then $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B},n,R} \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B},2,R} = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow R < \frac{1}{2} \tag{2}$$

We define also

$$N(\mathcal{B}, R) = \sup \{n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{such that } \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B},n,R} \neq \emptyset\} \tag{3}$$

and

$$R_c(\mathcal{B}) = \inf\{R \in \mathbb{R}_+ \text{ such that } N(\mathcal{B}, R) = \infty\} \tag{4}$$

We will have then

1. If $R < R_c(\mathcal{B})$ then $n \leq N(\mathcal{B}, R) < \infty$ if $(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n) \in \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{B},n,R}$.
2. If $R > R_c(\mathcal{B})$ then there is no way to majorize the number n.

From 2 we know that $R_c(\mathcal{B}) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ for any infinite dimensional Banach space $\mathcal{B}$.

---

[*] Mathieu.Dutour@wanadoo.fr, 26 rue de la république, 45000 Orléans France

2 A linear mapping

Let us denote by $E = l_p$ the Banach subspace of $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ equipped with the norm

$$\begin{array}{rcl} N_p : E & \mapsto & \mathbb{R} \\ x & \mapsto & \sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p} \end{array} \tag{5}$$

We consider the linear mapping

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \phi : E^n & \mapsto & E^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \\ (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) & \mapsto & (v_i - v_j)_{1 \le i < j \le n} \end{array} \tag{6}$$

We equip E^s with the norm

$$\begin{array}{rcl} N_{p,s} : E^s & \mapsto & \mathbb{R} \\ (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s) & \mapsto & \sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^{s} N_p^p(v_i)} \end{array} \tag{7}$$

Question is to evaluate the norm of the mapping ϕ with E^n and $E^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$ equipped with the norms $N_{p,n}$ and $N_{p,\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$.
We denote by $||\phi||_p$ the norm of this mapping

Theorem 2.1 *We have* $||\phi||_1 = n - 1$

Proof What we need to find is the best constant C such that

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} N_1(v_i - v_j) \le C \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_1(v_i) \tag{8}$$

Let us majorize the sum

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} N_1(v_i - v_j) & \le & \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} N_1(v_i) + N_1(v_j) \\ & \le & (n-1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_1(v_i) \end{array} \tag{9}$$

So we have constant $C \le n - 1$. Then if we set

$$(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = (h, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{10}$$

we obtain equality.

Theorem 2.2 *We have* $||\phi||_2 = \sqrt{n}$

Proof A well known formula (Maybe RANKIN, but needs to be verified) is

$$\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} N_2(x_i - x_j)^2 = n \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_2(x_i)^2 - N_2(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i)^2, \tag{11}$$

from which we get

$$\sqrt{\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} N_2(x_i - x_j)^2} \le \sqrt{n} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_2(x_i)^2}, \tag{12}$$

which provides $||\phi||_2 \le \sqrt{n}$. Equality is attained with

$$v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \text{ with } \sum v_i = 0 \tag{13}$$

Theorem 2.3 *We have* $||\phi||_\infty = 2$

Proof What we need to find is the best constant C such that

$$\sup_{1\leq i<j\leq n} N_\infty(v_i - v_j) \leq C \sup_{1\leq i\leq n} N_\infty(v_i) \tag{14}$$

Let us majorize the sup

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \sup_{1\leq i<j\leq n} N_\infty(v_i - v_j) & \leq & \sup_{1\leq i<j\leq n} N_\infty(v_i) + N_\infty(v_j) \\ & \leq & 2\sup_{1\leq i\leq n} N_\infty(v_i) \end{array} \tag{15}$$

which gives $||\phi||_\infty \leq 2$. Equality is obtained with

$$v = (h, -h, 0, \dots, 0) \tag{16}$$

We use a classic interpolation theorem

Theorem 2.4 *(Stein Interpolation theorem (see [5], p. 40)) If* $p \leq q \leq r$ *and* $\phi : L^h \mapsto L^h$ *is a continous mapping for* $h = p, r$ *then* ϕ *is continuous for* q *and*

$$||\phi||_{L^q} < [||\phi||_{L^r}]^{\frac{(q-p)r}{(r-p)q}} [||\phi||_{L^p}]^{\frac{(r-q)p}{(r-p)q}} \tag{17}$$

this theorems gives, if $1 < q < 2$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} ||\phi||_q^q & \leq & [||\phi||_2]^{(q-1)2}[||\phi||_1]^{(2-q)} \\ & \leq & [\sqrt{n}]^{(q-1)2}[n-1]^{(2-q)} \\ & \leq & [n]^{q-1}[n-1]^{2-q} \end{array} \tag{18}$$

It gives also, if $1 < q < \infty$,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} ||\phi||_q^q & \leq & [||\phi||_\infty]^{q-2}[||\phi||_2]^2 \\ & \leq & [2]^{q-2}[\sqrt{n}]^2 \\ & \leq & n[2]^{q-2} \end{array} \tag{19}$$

3 Lower Bound

Theorem 3.1 *If* $1 < q < 2$, $R < 2^{-\frac{1}{q}}$ *and* $\mathcal{E}_{l_q,n,R} \neq \emptyset$ *then*

$$n \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{1 - [2R^q]^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} \rfloor = \psi(q, R) \tag{20}$$

Proof Assume $(v_1, \dots, v_n)$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{l_q,n,R}$ we then obtain by the linear application bound

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n} N_q(v_i - v_j)^q \leq u(q)^q \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_q(v_i)^q \tag{21}$$

and so

$$\frac{n(n-1)}{2} \leq u(q)^q n R^q \tag{22}$$

and this gives us

$$\frac{1}{2R^q} \leq (\frac{n}{n-1})^{q-1} \tag{23}$$

which inverts into

$$n \leq \frac{1}{1 - [2R^q]^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} \tag{24}$$

and we have the result.

Theorem 3.2 *If* $1 < q,\ R < 2^{\frac{1}{q}-1}$ *and* $\mathcal{E}_{l_q,n,R} \neq \emptyset$ *then*

$$n \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{1-2^{q-1}R^q} \rfloor = \psi(q,R) \tag{25}$$

Proof Assume $(v_1,\ldots,v_n)$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{l_q,n,R}$ we then obtain by the linear application bound

$$\sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n} N_q(v_i-v_j)^q \leq u(q)^q \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_q(v_i)^q \tag{26}$$

and so

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \frac{n(n-1)}{2} & \leq & nR^q\|\phi\|_q^q \\ & \leq & n[2]^{q-2}nR^q \\ & \leq & n^2[2]^{q-2}R^q \end{array} \tag{27}$$

We then obtain

$$n-1 \leq n2^{q-1}R^q \tag{28}$$

and so

$$n(1-2^{q-1}R^q) \leq 1 \tag{29}$$

which gives us

$$n \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{1-2^{q-1}R^q} \rfloor \tag{30}$$

4 Upper bound

Theorem 4.1 *We have* $R_c(l_p) \leq 2^{-\frac{1}{p}}$.

Proof It suffices to find vectors having pairwise L^p-distance equal to 1 and norm equal to $2^{-\frac{1}{p}}$.
We define

$$e_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{2}}(0,\ldots,0,1,0,\ldots,0,\ldots) \in l_p \text{ with } i \in \mathbb{N} \tag{31}$$

and we have

$$N_p(e_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{2}} \text{ and } N_p(e_i-e_j) = 1, \text{ if } i \neq j \tag{32}$$

since the number of vectors e_i is arbitrary we have the result.

Theorem 4.2 *We have* $R_c(l_p) \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}$.

Proof It suffices to find vectors having pairwise L^p-distance equal to 1 and norm equal to $2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}$.
Let n such that a Hadamard matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ exists. We write $n = 2h$ and define vectors

$$f_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{h}}\frac{1}{2}(a_{i1},a_{i2},\ldots,a_{in},0,\ldots,0,\ldots) \in l_p \text{ with } 1 \leq i \leq n \tag{33}$$

we have

$$N_p(f_i) = \frac{1}{2}2^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{34}$$

if $i \neq j$ then by orthogonality the number of different coefficient between f_i and f_j is h and we then obtain

$$N_p(f_i - f_j) = 1 \tag{35}$$

If $n = 2^r$ then there exist an Hadamard matrix. So the number of vectors having pairwise L^p-distance equal to 1 and norm equal to $2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}$ is not bounded and we get the result: $R_c(l_p) \leq 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1}$.

Combining the preceding results we conclude

$$R_c(l_p) = \begin{cases} 2^{-\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if} \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2 \\ 2^{\frac{1}{p}-1} & \text{if} \quad 2 \leq p \leq \infty \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

and we remark that $R_c(l_p) = R_c(l_q)$ if $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ which suggest our result has some link with duality. Even more there is a duality in the bound ψ defined implicitely at 20 and 25: $\psi(p, R) = \psi(q, R)$ if $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. This result is not new, it seems to appear on ([2], p. 31-34).
I thank Bernard Maurey for useful comment on this paper.

5 An uniform bound

We use here Dvoretsky result [3] to proove that $R_c(\mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $\mathcal{B}$ an infinite dimensional Banach space with norm $||.||$. $\forall \epsilon > 0$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ there exists $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in \mathcal{B}$ such that*

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^n, \ (1-\epsilon)\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \alpha_i^2} \leq ||\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \alpha_i x_i|| \leq (1+\epsilon)\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{i=n} \alpha_i^2} \tag{37}$$

Setting $w_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(1-\epsilon)}$ we have $||w_i - w_j|| \geq 1$ and $||w_i|| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{1+\epsilon}{1-\epsilon}$. So if $R > \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ we can find as much vectors as we want in B_R with mutual distance greater than or equal to 1 So $R_c(\mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$.
So we have $\frac{1}{2} \leq R_c(\mathcal{B}) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ for any Banach space $\mathcal{B}$.

References

[1] M.M. Deza, M. Laurent: Geometry of cuts and metrics.
Springer Verlag, 1997.

[2] J.M. Aterbe Toledano, T. Dominguez Benavides and G. Lopez Acedo: Measures of noncompactness in metric fixed point theory.

[3] G. Pisier: The volume of convex bodies and Banach space geometry.
Cambridge University Press, 1989.

[4] R.A. Rankin: On packing spheres in Hilbert space.
Proceedings of the Glasgow Mathematical Association, 2 145-146, 1955

[5] M. Reed, B. Simon: Methods of modern mathematical physics, II Fourier analysis, Self Adjointness.
Academic Press 1978.